Obligation under form 2-A of DPCO, 1987

2068. SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased *to* refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 660 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 5th September, 1991 and state;

(a) whether it is a fact that under form 2-A of DPCO, 1987 it is obligatory on the part of producers *to* disclose details in respect of price decontrolled medicines;

(b) if so, what is the break up of ex-factory cost and MAPE on Ceftazidine Hcl Injections sold under the brand name Fortum and when these details were communicated;

(c) what was the price of these formulations prior to their recent price revision consequent *to* withdrawal of custom duty on the bulk drug ceftazidine;

(d) whether any programme for the manufacture of this drug in the country has been approved; and

(e> if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Information as communicated by the company in Form 2A on 26-U-91 is given in the statement- (See below) Company is now going to manufacture the formulation in the country. So far, it was importing the formulation in finished form.

(c) With effect from 25-7-91, bulk drag Ceftazidine is exempt from customs duty. The price of various packs as reported by the company in form 3 in March, 1991, were as under:

Fortum Inj. 250 mg. V. —
Rs. *66.21* 500" —Rs.
131.*93* 1.0" —Rs.
26283

(d) and (e) Yes, Sir, M/s. Glaxo has been given licence to manufacture Ceftazi dine dm for a capacity of 59 kg a year.

Statement

Fortum Injection

Break-up	1.09 gm	0.59 gm	0.25 gm
	(in Rs.)		
(a) Material Cost	98.82	49.41	24.70
(b) Conversion Cost	0.42	0.42	0.42
(c) Packing Material Cost	11.01	10.92	10.68
(d) Packing Charges	0.08	0.08	0.08
(e) Ex-Factory Cost (a) to (d)	110.33	60.83	35.88
(f) MAPE (128%)	141.22	77.86	45.93
(g) Excise duty	29.40	16.21	9.56
(h) Retail Price b	280.95	154.90	91.3

Observation of Public Accounts Committee on custom duty concessions

2069. SHRI BEKAL UTSAHI: WiU the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 656 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 5th September, 1991 and state;

(a) whether it is a fact that Public Accounts Committee had observed that custom duty concessions are being given to